	Case 2:22-cv-01926-KJM-KJN Docume	nt 14	Filed 03/22/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JOSHUAH MCCAVITT,	No	o. 2:22-cv-01926	KJM KJN P
12	Petitioner,			
13	v.	<u>O</u>	<u>rder</u>	
14	PATRICK COVELLO,			
15	Respondent.			
16		_		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas			
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as			
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
20	On December 12, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which			
21	were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the			
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. F&R, ECF No. 10.			
23	Petitioner filed objections to the findings and recommendations and asked this court to reject the			
24	magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, or, in the alternative, grant petitioner "an			
25	opportunity to amend his petition." Obj. at 5, ECF No. 13. Amendment in this case would be			
26	futile, so the court denies this request. Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g, 512 F.3d 522, 532			
27	(9th Cir.2008). Petitioner cannot amend his claim challenging a state court's application of state			
28	sentencing laws to state a cognizable federal claim. See F&R at 3-4. Additionally, petitioner			

## cannot amend his complaint to show the state court's alleged error was "so arbitrary or capricious as to constitute an independent due process [violation]." Richmond v. Lewis, 506 U.S. 40, 50 (1992); see also F&R at 4. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 12, 2022, are adopted in full; 2. The petition is dismissed with prejudice; and 3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253. DATED: March 22, 2023. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:22-cv-01926-KJM-KJN Document 14 Filed 03/22/23 Page 2 of 2